

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 APPER ATION NO
 FIELDS DATE
 TRIST NAMED INVESTOR
 A FLORREST DOUBLE TO CONTINUATION NO

 69 7 \$4.900
 01.05.2001
 Sharad K. Goval
 BLR LEK 3.0-025 DIV
 39n.2

SM ESON 07 12 2002 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOL Z & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090

EXAMINER
WEBMAN, EDWARD J

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

P1O-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Office Action Summary

Application No. C97759-909 Applicant(s) COVIL

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATLITORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 3/4/01 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) ___ is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement pplication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _ is approved disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ___ is/are objected to by the Examiner □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number; 09/754,909 Art Unit: 1617

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121

- Claims 1-28, drawn to an adhesive composition, classified in class 156, subclass 327
- Claims 29-33, 40, drawn to a layered patch, classified in class 602, subclass 52.
- III Claims 34-39, drawn to a method of making adhesive composition, classified in class 427, subclass 2.31.
- IV. Claims 41-48, drawn to a method of making layered patch, classified in class 428, subclass 411.1.
- Claims 49-63, 81-83, drawn to a method of making a crosslinked adhesive composition, classified in class 424, subclass 78.18.
- Claims 64-80, drawn to a crosslinked adhesive composition, classified in class 525, subclass 556.
- VII. Claim 84, drawn to a transdermal, classified in class 424, subclass 449.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II, VI, VII are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a liquid bandage and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing

on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions VI, VII and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive depot and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions VI and VII are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP §

806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a monolayer patch and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different mocoss such as one using an aqueous solvent.

Inventions IV and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). The process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as one with a layer containing both the active and the deprotonating agent.

Art Unit: 1617

Inventions V and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as one containing and adhesive rubber.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicants elect Group I, the following election of species is required:

Claim 2 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species

comprising adhesive polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/754,909 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward. Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Webman/LR June 18, 2002

